1ST SOUTHERN AFRICAN CONFERENCE ON THE FIRST-YEAR EXPERIENCE

STELLENBOSCH SEPTEMBER 2008 Paper by

RINA DURANDT & DUAN VAN DER WESTHUIZEN

University of Johannesburg





PAPER - THEME

SUCCESS IN FIRST YEAR MATHEMATICS: A COMPUTER-SUPPORTED PROGRAMME











| MOTIVATION | | | | |
|--|---|--|-----|--|
| 2006 | 836 | 2006 | 58% | |
| 2007 | 496 | 2007 | 58% | |
| 2008 | 403 | 2008 | 63% | |
| Decrease Mathemat Unsatisfie Mathemat | in the numbe ics students a d throughput ics | er of first year at universities t in first year | | |

MOTIVATION

Factors leading to underperformance in Mathematics in higher education:

- Poor teaching in Mathematics at school level
- Large classes
- Medium of teaching
- · Inefficient study methods
- Lack in practice of Mathematics techniques Underdevelopment of problem-solving and critical thinking skills

MOTIVATION

Factors framing the academic environment in the South African context:

- Diverse ethnic community (culture)
- Home language versus language of learning Mathematics
- Underprepared for university studies: Background

 - Attitudes, beliefs and emotions (Mathematics anxiety) - Time management, study methods, learning culture
 - Underprivileged (poor)

- MOTIVATION Popular environment of computer-assisted learning Develop aspects of academic maturity and responsibility Different learning styles and assessment strategies
- "students are less likely to move away from studying Mathematics if they enjoy it" (Berger et al., 2005)



MOTIVATIONAL COMMENTS

- "... the web environment seems to facilitate aspects of academic maturity."
- "Technology has great potential to enhance student learning, but only if it is used appropriately"
- "... serious consideration should be given to actively involving students during the practical sessions."
- Instructors should use "culturally sensitive teaching techniques and innovative teaching approaches that integrate Mathematics into a real-world context." (Walker et al., 2000)





<section-header>

<section-header><section-header>







METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

Qualitative approach to research

- Takes place in the natural setting
- Uses multiple methods that are interactive
- Fundamentally interpretive and uses complex reasoning

Design-based research as the strategy of

inquiry

"The dual objective of developing creative approaches to solving human teaching, learning, and performance problems while at the same time constructing a body of design principles that can guide future development efforts." (Reeves, 2000)

| METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Phase 1 | Define design principles and guidelines for best practices | |
| Phase 2 | Design of the computer-based tutorial intervention | |
| Phase 3 | Conduct a pilot study to formatively evaluate and redesign the intervention | |
| Phase 4 | Implement the computer-based tutorial intervention in the main study | |
| Phase 5 | Evaluate the computer-based tutorial intervention to construct a body of design principles in the transfer study | |

METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

Qualitative Data Collection types:

- Observation
- Interviews (one on one & group) with different role players:
 - Students
 - Tutor
 - Lecturer
 - Computer facilitator
- Documents (journals, student work)
- Audiovisual materials

POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTION

- To provide **higher education institutions** with guidelines to develop a computer-based tutorial intervention for first-year Mathematics
- In practice to contribute to student development:
 - Computer skills
 - Popular learning environment
 - Learning Mathematics
 - Achievement in Mathematics
 - Development of learning potential



CONTACT DETAILS

Rina Durandt

Instructional Designer

Centre for Technology Assisted Learning (CenTAL) Division for Academic Development and Support University of Johannesburg <u>rdurandt@uj.ac.za</u> (+27) 11 559-2293